

# Exhibit 4

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <Igor@LitvakLawNY.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 10:21 PM  
**To:** Luke Roniger; Laura Harris  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson; Sumon Dantiki; Matthew Bush; Paul Weeks  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)  
**Attachments:** Joint Rule 26(f) Report - Starovikov.docx

---

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

---

Luke,  
See attached, my proposals regarding the joint status report are highlighted within.  
Sincerely,

Igor Litvak, Esq.  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
EMail: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com  
Website: www.nyccrimelawyer.com

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:**

This E-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this E-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender, delete this copy from your system and destroy any hard copy that you may have made. Thank you.

---

**From:** Luke Roniger <LRoniger@KSLAW.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 1:58 PM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <Igor@LitvakLawNY.com>; Laura Harris <lharris@kslaw.com>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <amichaelson@kslaw.com>; Sumon Dantiki <sdantiki@kslaw.com>; Matthew Bush <mbush@kslaw.com>; Paul Weeks <PWeeks@KSLAW.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Igor, please see attached for discussion on tomorrow's call.

We will send an invitation for 2:30 ET.

Thanks,  
Luke

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 17, 2022 11:48 AM  
**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Let's do tomorrow at 2:30pm???

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2022 9:47:35 PM

**To:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Igor,

Thank you for your note. We have been reviewing the Answer for the purposes of revising our proposed case management plan and intend to send our draft your way tomorrow. We suggest that we plan to speak Wednesday—I'm free 11a to 12p or 1p to 3p. Let us know when works best and we can send across an invite.

And with respect to the pleadings, my plan was the same as yours, but we'll confer internally to confirm.

Thanks,  
Laura

---

**Laura Harris**

*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2022 7:12 AM

**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Good morning Laura,

I hope you are doing well. I was hoping to confer with you this week pursuant to Rule 16 and finalize the schedule for discovery and motions. You were going to send me a proposed schedule but I never got anything. I am available to speak Tuesday afternoon and most of Wednesday, let me know what works for you, thank you.  
Sincerely,

PS: I see the Judge wants us to mail him our pleadings in advance of the conference, I am assuming we each mail our respective pleadings, you mail the complaint, etc and I mail the answer with counterclaims, if you know of something else please let me know, thanks again.

Igor Litvak, Esq.  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
EMail: [Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)  
Website: [www.nyccrimelawyer.com](http://www.nyccrimelawyer.com)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:**

This E-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this E-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender, delete this copy from your system and destroy any hard copy that you may have made. Thank you.

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 1:15 PM  
**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

6pm would be the best, thanks

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 1:11:21 PM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

I should add that we are also happy to speak at 1:15, if that time still works for you.

---

**Laura Harris**  
*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

**From:** Laura Harris  
**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 1:10 PM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>; Paul Weeks <[PWeeks@KSLAW.com](mailto:PWeeks@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Igor,

Unfortunately, we aren't free at 4p, but we are free from now until 4p, and again 6p. Please let us know when is best.

Thanks,  
Laura

---

**Laura Harris**  
*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 1:05 PM  
**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Can we talk at 4pm??

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 1:04:13 PM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Igor,  
Apologies, we are on the line now if 1p still works. If not, please let m know when you're free to speak later today.

**Laura Harris**

Partner

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 8:34 AM

**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

I have another conference at 1:30pm

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, May 6, 2022 8:25:02 AM

**To:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Igor,

Would you be amenable to speaking at 1:15p today, instead of 1p?

Thanks,  
Laura

Laura Harris  
King & Spalding  
M: +1 917 767 6383

---

**From:** Laura Harris

**Sent:** Thursday, May 5, 2022 3:21:10 PM

**To:** Igor Litvak <[igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>

**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>

**Subject:** RE: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Thanks, Igor. We'll send an invite across for 1p ET shortly.

Best,  
Laura

---

**Laura Harris**  
*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

---

**From:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 4, 2022 11:27 PM  
**To:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

**CAUTION: MAIL FROM OUTSIDE THE FIRM**

Laura, I am available Friday any time after 12 pm. Igor.

Igor Litvak, Esq.  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
EMail: [Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)  
Website: [www.nyccrimelawyer.com](http://www.nyccrimelawyer.com)

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:**

This E-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this E-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender, delete this copy from your system and destroy any hard copy that you may have made. Thank you.

---

**From:** Laura Harris <[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 4, 2022 10:22 PM  
**To:** Igor Litvak <[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)>  
**Cc:** Andrew Michaelson <[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)>; Sumon Dantiki <[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)>; Matthew Bush <[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)>; Luke Roniger <[LRoniger@KSLAW.com](mailto:LRoniger@KSLAW.com)>  
**Subject:** Google LLC v. Starovikov et al., 21-cv-10260 (DLC)

Igor,

I'm reaching out pursuant to the Court's Notice of Initial Pretrial Conference, which directs us to "confer with each other prior to the conference regarding settlement, whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction, and each of the other subjects to be considered at the Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 conference, and to prepare a detailed written proposed schedule for any motions and discovery." ECF No. 63. We would like to schedule a call this week to discuss these items. Can you please provide your availability for a call tomorrow or Friday? We can circulate an invitation for a time that works for you.

Thanks,  
Laura

---

**Laura Harris**  
*Partner*

T: +1 212 790 5360 | E: [lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com) | [Bio](#) | [vCard](#)

King & Spalding LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036



[kslaw.com](http://kslaw.com)

---

King & Spalding Confidentiality Notice:

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message. [Click here to view our Privacy Notice.](#)



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

GOOGLE LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-10260-DLC

DMITRY STAROVIKOV;

ALEXANDER FILIPPOV;

Does 1-15,

*Defendants.*

**JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT**

Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) and Defendants Dmitry Starovikov and Alexander Filippov (“Defendants,” together with Google, the “parties”) jointly submit the following Rule 26(f) Report and Discovery Plan.

The parties conducted the Rule 26(f) conference telephonically on May 11, 2022. As directed by the Court, the parties conferred about “settlement, whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction, and each of the other subjects to be considered at Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 conference,” and prepared a “detailed written proposed schedule for any motions and discovery” pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). ECF 63. The parties respectfully submit the following proposed discovery plan along with the parties’ proposed case schedule attached as Exhibit A.

**A. Initial Disclosures – Rule 26(f)(3)(A)**

The parties will serve initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a) no later than June 10, 2022. The parties agree that the initial disclosures will take the form prescribed in Rule 26(a), and that Defendants' initial disclosures will identify each individual and entity involved in Defendants' business activities described in the Complaint (ECF 5), including for each the name, current contact information, and a description of the individual's or entity's role in Defendants' business activities.

**B. Subjects of Discovery and Discovery Completion – Rule 26(f)(3)(B)**

**1. Subjects of Discovery**

The parties agree that they should "conduct expeditious and targeted discovery" to resolve this case as expeditiously as possible. See ECF 62 at 32. The parties agree that fact discovery in this action should be phased. The initial phase of fact discovery will be targeted and focused on identifying the Doe Defendants and their roles in Defendants' business activities described in the Complaint. With five (5) business days of Defendants' initial disclosures, Google will serve targeted discovery requests for the purpose of obtaining any additional information necessary to amend the Complaint to include any additional named defendants. If no such individuals are identified in this preliminary phase of discovery, Google intends to expeditiously renew its request for default judgment and a permanent injunction against the Doe Defendants.

Once this initial phase of discovery is complete, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery, the parties anticipate additional, targeted discovery regarding the parties' respective claims and defenses, as set forth in Section E.

## 2. Fact Discovery

The parties' proposed case schedule, including the deadlines for fact discovery, is attached as Exhibit A.

## 3. Expert Discovery

The parties' proposed case schedule, including the deadlines for expert discovery, is attached as Exhibit A.

## C. Disclosure, Discovery, or Preservation of ESI – Rule 26(f)(3)(C)

The parties anticipate that the scope of discovery will encompass Electronically Stored Information (“ESI”). The parties will request ESI in the form or forms that facilitate efficient review of ESI. Google also anticipates that it will request to inspect Defendants’ computers and other devices used in their business practices described in the Complaint and Defendants’ declarations, and. Similarly, Defendants reserve their rights to inspect Plaintiff’s computers and other devices used in its business practices relating to the allegations described in the Complaint and Plaintiff’s declarations. Reciprocally, Defendants request that the Court order Plaintiff to preserve such computers and/or devices for production in this litigation.

**Commented [IL1]:** Laura, I am adding this to the proposed report

## D. Privilege – Rule 26(f)(3)(D)

The parties agree that they will serve privilege logs in accordance with the guidelines set forth in Local Civil Rule 26.2 no later than 45 days after the production from which the document was withheld, unless otherwise agreed. The parties further agree that privileged or otherwise protected communications with outside counsel after the date of the respective party’s first appearance in this action need not be logged. The parties also agree that they have reserved all rights to challenge any privilege claims, whether described on privilege logs or otherwise.

**E. Limitations on Discovery – Rule 26(f)(3)(E)**

The parties agree that all discovery should be limited to the remaining factual issues identified by the Court in its April 27, 2022 Order: (1) Defendants’ claimed “lack [of] knowledge of the criminal schemes that Google alleges they control”; (2) Defendants’ claim that they “did not intend to target U.S. users”; and (3) Defendants’ claim that “user participation in their services is entirely legitimate and voluntary.” ECF 62 at 11.

**Commented [IL2]:** Laura, I want this taken out, its way to premature to limit discovery to these issues and also I don’t think the Judge meant to say that there are no other issue at all.

**F. Other Orders That the Court Should Issue – Rule 26(f)(3)(F)**

The parties are negotiating [intend to negotiate] a stipulated protective order that they intend to present to the Court. The parties agree that neither side should exchange confidential documents under Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii) until a protective order is entered in this case.

**G. Other Matters****1. Settlement**

The parties discussed settlement and “whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction.” ECF 63. While no settlement agreement has been reached, the parties are continuing to discuss the possibility of resolving this case without further litigation, including whether there is a form of permanent injunction to which Defendants are willing to consent.

**2. Consent to Magistrate Judge**

The parties do not consent to proceed before a magistrate judge.

**3. Joinder of Parties and Amendment of Pleadings**

Google anticipates that it will amend its Complaint to add named defendants once those persons have identified by Defendants in discovery. The parties propose that the deadline for amendments to the pleadings as of right be thirty (30) days from the completion of the first phase of fact discovery, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery. Google

reserves all of its rights to seek leave to amend the Complaint. Similarly, Defendants reserve their rights to amend/supplement their Counterclaims as of right upon the completion of the first phase of fact discovery, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery.

Commented [IL3]: Laura, I am adding this as well

#### 4. Service of Pleadings and Discovery

The parties agree that as to all parties who have appeared in this action, all papers and discovery material not filed with the Court via CM/ECF shall be served via email and/or FTP on counsel of record for each party, except to the extent that electronic service is not practically available for any particular discovery material, in which case service shall be made to counsel of record for the opposing party(ies) at the following locations:

##### For Google:

Laura Harris  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

##### For Defendants:

Igor Litvak  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235

#### 5. Pretrial Submissions

The parties jointly request that pretrial matters, including the deadline for witness lists and other pretrial submissions, be deferred until after the Court's resolution of summary judgment motions.

DATED: May 11, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ SIGNATURE

Laura Harris  
Andrew Michaelson  
Kathleen E. McCarthy  
Matthew L. Bush

---

KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 790-5356  
Fax: (212) 556-2222  
lharris@kslaw.com  
amichaelson@kslaw.com  
kmccarthy@kslaw.com  
mbush@kslaw.com

Sumon Dantiki (*pro hac vice*)  
David P. Mattern (*pro hac vice*)  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1700 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, 2nd Floor  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 626-5591  
Fax: (202) 626-3737  
sdantiki@kslaw.com  
dmattern@kslaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Google LLC*

---

Igor B. Litvak  
The Litvak Law Firm  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Rd., Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
Email: Igor@LitvakLawNY.com

Counsel for Defendants

**EXHIBIT A**  
**PROPOSED SCHEDULE**

<b>Event</b>	<b>Proposed Deadline</b>
Initial Pretrial Conference	June 1, 2022
Initial Disclosures Served	June 10, 2022
Google Serves Discovery Requests for the First Phase of Fact Discovery	June 17, 2022
Remaining Fact Discovery Commences	Immediately following completion of the first phase of fact discovery
Deadline for Amending Pleadings as of Right	30 days following completion of the first phase of fact discovery
Close of Fact Discovery	October 3, 2022
Expert Reports from Parties Bearing the Burden on an Issue	November 4, 2022
Rebuttal Expert Reports	December 9, 2022
Reply Expert Reports	January 9, 2023
Motion(s) for Summary Judgment	February 10, 2023
Opposition(s) to Motion(s) for Summary Judgment	March 13, 2023
Reply(ies) in Support of Motion(s) for Summary Judgment	April 3, 2023